

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,341 09/04/2001		09/04/2001	Tsuneo Sato	0649-0799P	9771
2292	7590	09/08/2005		EXAM	1INER
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BIR	GOOD JOHNSO	GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		•	2/72	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/944,341	SATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Motilewa A. Good-Johnson	2677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u>April 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.00.011.011111111111111111111111111111				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ol>	, —					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <del>4_7</del> -65	6)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson, U.S. Patent Number 6,011,595, "Method for Segmenting a Digital Image into a Foreground Region and Key Color Region", class 348/590, 01/04/2000, filed 09/19/1997 in view of Edge et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,362,808 B1, "Arrangement for Mapping Color Between Imaging Systems and Method Therefor", class 345/601, 03/26/2002, filed 08/12/1997.

Regarding claim 9, Henderson discloses a color management apparatus for converting supplied image data by using a lookup table of color characteristic data into output image data, said color management apparatus comprising: a lookup table which is composed of characteristic points which are points indicating the relationship between supplied image data and output image data which are determined to be impossible to be interpolated when a process for converting image data is performed (col. 6, lines 1-28, look-up table stores indicators of color values inside a key color volume and outside the key color volume, which Examiner interprets as color impossible to be interpolated)

Application/Control Number: 09/944,341

Art Unit: 2677

However it is noted that Henderson fails to disclose and image data converting means for converting supplied image data by using said lookup table composed of the characteristic points into output image data.

Edge discloses image data converting means for converting supplied image data by using said lookup table composed of the characteristic points into output image data. (col. 5, lines 26-32)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include data impossible to be interpolated in a look up table, to reproduce images representative of color values outside a key region of a color management area that are impossible to be interpolated.

Regarding claim 10, Henderson discloses table developing means for developing said lookup table into multidimensional lookup table; wherein said image data converting means uses the multidimensional lookup table developed by said table development means . . . (col. 6, lines 41-65)

Regarding claim 11, Henderson discloses table development means develops said lookup table into said multidimensional lookup table in such a manner that all of characteristic points of said lookup table composed of the characteristic points are contained. (figure 6, element 60)

Regarding claim 12, Henderson discloses table development means develops said lookup table into the multidimensional lookup table such that data corresponding to grid points of said multidimensional lookup table is composed of output data of said

lookup table and data of information of adjacent grid points for interpolating a portion between grid points. (figure 4)

Regarding claim 13, Henderson discloses multidimensional lookup table is a compressed . . . table formed by compressing said multidimensional lookup table; restoring means is provided which restore said compressed multidimensional lookup table into said multidimensional lookup table; said image data converting means causes said restoring means to restore said compressed multidimensional lookup table and uses obtained . . . table to convert supplied image data into output image data. (col. 6, lines 16-19)

Regarding claim 14, Henderson discloses table recording means for recording said multidimensional lookup table . . . in a memory; and updating means for operating said table development means and said table recording means . . . , image data converting means uses said . . . table recorded in said memory to convert supplied image data into output image data. (figure 3, element 34, address multi dimensional look up table with pixel color values, which Examiner interprets as table recording)

Regarding claim 15, it is rejected based upon similar rational as claim 9. Further, Edge discloses a color management system, col. 4, lines 1-7 and further discloses a lookup table to enable interpolation of destination coordinates form source coordinates, col. 7, lines 35-57, and storing and constructing the look-up table and using interpolation to convert source coordinates to destination coordinates, col. 7, lines 58-67.

Regarding claim 16, it is rejected based upon similar rational as above claim 9.

Further, Edge discloses generating a look-up table and a device link generator including

Art Unit: 2677

a device link table builder, col. 7, lines 27-57, a table builder which generates the lookup table by generating a series of source device coordinates as input value entries, col. 7, lines 50-57 and transformation techniques supplemented by interpolation between entries in a multidimensional lookup table, col. 1, lines 48-50.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 04/07/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Henderson discloses utilizing interpolation instead of a multi-dimensional look up table to estimate output values, and the values in Henderson are key color volumes utilized to determine colors that are possible to be interpolated. It is the interpretation of the Examiner that the table in Henderson contains values that are possible to be interpolated and the key color values that lie outside the volume are colors that are impossible to be interpolated. Henderson further discloses allowing a use to change the distribution of the key color values to increase the range or the distribution of key color distribution, col. 5, lines 5-48. It is therefore the interpretation of the Examiner that if the user can adjust the key color range that would expand the key color range to include colors which were once outside the range and determined impossible to be interpolated contained within the multi-dimensional lookup table.

### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Motilewa A. Good-Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7658. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Motilewa A. Good-Johnson Examiner Art Unit 2677

mgj

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER